Phytochemical Analysis, Total Phenolic Content, Antioxidant and Antidiabetic Activity of Sansevieria cylindrica Leaves Extract
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Abstract

Background: Genus “Sansevieria” has been traditionally used for the treatment of various ailments in African countries since a long time. In particular, Sansevieria trifasciata is used for the treatment of inflammatory conditions and sold as a crude drug in the market to treat victims of snakebite in South Africa and tropical America. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the presence of phytochemicals, total phenolic content, antioxidant as well as antidiabetic activity of ethanol extract and fractions of Sansevieria cylindrica leaves.

Methods and findings: Phytochemical analysis of hexane, chloroform, methanol and aqueous fractions Sansevieria cylindrica leaves confirmed the presence of steroids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, and phenolic acids. Methanol fraction was found to show maximum phenolic content. Ethanol extract and its methanol fraction showed significant antioxidant and antidiabetic activity. They inhibited 80.5% and 83.6% respectively DPPH free radical at 100 μg/ml concentration. In addition, methanol fraction exhibited 57.9% inhibition of glucose-6-phosphatase enzyme at 100 μM concentration.

Conclusion: Our study confirmed the traditional uses of Sansevieria cylindrica plants for the treatment of various diseases.
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Introduction

Medicinal plants have been identified as herbal medicine and used all over world from prehistoric times [1]. These medicines refers to using a plant's seeds, berries, roots, leaves, bark, or flowers for medicinal purposes. Plants as medicine provides significant advantages for treatment of many illness conditions. The therapeutic activity of a plant is due to presence of complex chemical constituents in different parts providing certain therapeutic effects [2]. World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that 80% of people worldwide rely on herbal medicines with increasing interest because of public dissatisfaction with the cost of prescribe medications, various side effects of synthetic medicines, non-toxic nature, more affordable with lower cost and allows greater public access to health information [3]. Plants are rich sources of different types of secondary metabolites which are generally termed as compounds. These compounds not only used directly as therapeutic agents, but also as starting materials for the synthesis of drugs or as models for pharmacologically active compounds [4]. Many of these compounds have pharmacological activities and used in the treatment of chronic and acute conditions and various ailments such as cardiovascular disease, prostate problems, depression, inflammation, to boost the immune system and antioxidant properties. Antioxidant activity is shown by phenols or their oxygen-substituted derivatives such as tannins, flavonoids, phenolic acid as well as compounds having hydroxyl functionality [5]. Based on the traditional aspects of herbal medicine, this study was conducted to evaluate the antioxidant as well as antidiabetic activity of leave extracts of Sansevieria cylindrica.

Sansevieria cylindrica (SC) belongs to the family Asparagaceae, commonly referred to as Spear Sansevieria. It is a succulent and evergreen perennial plant native to the subtropical regions of the African continent and cultivated in Egypt for ornamental purposes [6]. It is also found in some part of India as ornamental plant. SC including other species have different chemical constituents such as dicarboxylic acids, phenols, steroidal saponis, saponins, homoisoflavanone, coumarins and ester of fatty acids [7]. Sansevieria species were investigated for many pharmacological activities, such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, antitumor, and antidiabetic activities and inhibition of the capillary permeability activity [8]. However, a survey of the literature showed that no
antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of leaves of SC have been carried out. As part of our research work on the phytochemical investigation of medicinal plants, we have reported antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of extract and their fractions of leaves SC.

Materials and Methods

Experimental

All solvents (Ethanol, methanol, dichloromethane hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, chloroform, ammonia, glacial acetic acid, sodium hydroxide) were purchased from SD fine chemical limited, Mumbai, India and were used without further purification. All chemicals were of analytical grade. 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid, ascorbic acid, anhydrous sodium carbonate (Na2CO3), Dragendorff’s reagent, mercuric chloride, potassium iodide, iodine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Mumbai, India. Solvents were recovered by using water bath purification. 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid, ascorbic acid, anhydrous sodium carbonate (Na2CO3), Dragendorff’s reagent, mercuric chloride, potassium iodide, iodine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Mumbai, India. Solvents were recovered by using water bath purification.

Plant material

SC leaves were collected from botanical garden, Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, UP, India in January 2017. Plant material was kindly confirmed and authenticated Dr. Alka, Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, UP, India where one voucher specimen was deposited. The collected samples were air-dried, powdered and kept in tightly-closed container for further experiment.

Extraction and fractionation

SC leaves (1.0 kg) were chopped into small pieces and air-dried at room temperature over several days until dryness. The dried pieces were powdered, weighed and percolated with 95% ethanol. It was repeated five times with interval of 3 days. After percolation, filtration was carried out using Whatman filter paper. The combined filtrate of the alcoholic extracts was concentrated using Buchi Rota vapor (Interface R-300) with the speed set at 150 rpm and temperature at 45°C. The concentrated extract was removed from the round bottom flask with ethanol and poured into weighed beakers. The alcoholic solvent was allowed to evaporate up to dryness. The dried solid extract was collected, weighed and used for fractionation. The ethanol extract (50 g) was triturated with hexane (5.1 g) and the hexane insoluble portion was dissolved in water, which was successively extracted with chloroform (500 ml x 3) and methanol (500 ml x 3) which yielded fractions of chloroform (12.7 g), methanol (20.5 g) and water (8.2 g).

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening was carried out for all the extracts and fractions according to the method described by Trease and Evans [9], with slight modifications. The screening was performed for steroids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, and phenolic acids. The color intensity or the precipitate formation was used as analytical tests. The qualitative results are expressed as (+) for the presence and (−) for the absence of phytochemicals.

Total phenolic content

Total soluble phenolics of the extracts were determined with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent with the help of UV-VIS spectrophotometer [10]. Gallic acid was used as a standard. One mg of gallic acid was dissolved in 10 ml of methanol (100 µg/ml) to prepare stock solution and then further diluted to 8, 4, 2 and 1 µg/ml one ml aliquot of each dilution was taken and diluted with 10 ml of distilled water. Then 3 ml Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 5 min 2 ml of 20% (w/w) Na2CO3 was added in each sample and left to stand for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 765 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer against blank (distilled water). Results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per 100 g of the dry sample. The coefficient of determination was r=0.9968.

DPPH assay

DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity was evaluated based on method described previously [11]. It was measured a decrease in absorbance at 517 nm of a solution of colored DPPH in methanol brought about by the sample. Ascorbic acid was used as reference compound. Briefly, 5 ml of methanol, DPPH (0.1 mM) was added to 1 ml of the sample solution at 5 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL, 25 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL concentrations. These mixtures were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After this, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm against a blank. The inhibition of DPPH radical was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition of DPPH} = \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{Sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times 100
\]

Where Abscontrol is the absorbance of DPPH radical +methanol; Abssample is the absorbance of DPPH radical +extract/standard.

D-glucose-6-phosphate phosphorylase (from rat liver) assay

The livers of male rats of Wistar strain were exercised. A 10% homogenate was prepared in 150 mM KCl using Potter Elvejhem glass homogenizer fitted with Teflon pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min; supernatant was decanted and used as enzyme source. The effect of extract and fractions were studied by pre-incubating the compound in 1.0 ml reaction system for 15 min and then determining the residual glucose-6-phosphatase activity according to the method of Hubscher and West [12]. The 1.0 ml assay system contained 0.3 M citrate buffer (pH 6.0), 28 mM EDTA, 14 mM NaF, 200 mM glucose-6-phosphate, and enzyme protein. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min after which 1.0 mL of 10% TCA was added. Estimation of
inorganic phosphates (Pi) in protein free supernatant was done according to the method of Taussky and Shorr [13] Glucose-6-phosphatase activity was defined as micromole Pi released per minute per milligram protein.

**Statistical analysis**

The values are expressed as Means ± SD. Experiments were repeated three times. Data were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons using the graph pad prism v5.0 (Graph pad software, inc., USA). P values of less than 0.05 were taken to be significant in the experiments.

**Results and Discussion**

**Phytochemical screening**

The preliminary phytochemical screening of leaf extracts of SC (Table 1) revealed the presence of various compounds such as phenols, alkaloids, saponins, steroidal-saponin, flavonoids, fatty acids and coumarins. When 1 ml of the methanol or aqueous extract was taken in test tube followed by few drops of 10% ferric solution was added. Formation of blue or green color indicates presence of phenols. For the steroids, 1 ml of extract in chloroform and few drops of conc. H2SO4 was mixed to form brown ring. Fluorescence was detected by the UV test (365 nm) for chloroform fraction which indicate the presence of coumarins. Flavonoids were verified for the studies chloroform, methanol and residual aqueous fraction with Shinoda test. In this test to the test solution few magnesium turnings and concentrated hydrochloric acid are added dropwise pink scarlet or green to blue color appears after minutes. The presence of saponins was confirmed by foam-producing properties of these compounds. They were identified in methanol and aqueous fractions.

**Table 1 Results of phytochemical analysis of leaf extracts of SC. +++: Strong intensity reaction; ++: Medium intensity reaction; +: Weak intensity reaction; --: Nondetected.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Phytochemicals</th>
<th>Hexane fraction</th>
<th>Chloroform fraction</th>
<th>Methanol fraction</th>
<th>Aqueous fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extraction yield**

Results of extraction yield showed that amount of extraction crude of SC depends upon the solvent nature and it varied from 10.2 to 41.0% with a descending order of methanol>water>chloroform>hexane (Table 2). Extraction with methanol resulted in the highest amount of total extractable compounds whereas the extraction yield with hexane was small in comparison to other solvents. Higher extraction yield in methanol might be due to the fact that it easily penetrates the cellular membrane and extracts the intracellular ingredients from the plant material. These results showed that SC contains more of polar compounds than the others.

**Total phenolic content**

The quantitative determination of total phenol was determined with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. The total phenols were expressed as mg/g gallic acid equivalent using the standard standard curve of gallic acid (Figure 1). Linearity of calibration curve was achieved between 1 to 8 µg/ml and calculated as equation: y=0.0837x-0.0513, R2=0.999, Where y is absorbance at 760 nm and x is total phenolic content in the extracts and fractions. The maximum phenolic content was found in the methanol fractions (86.2 ± 2.6). In the hexane and chloroform fractions, phenolic compounds could not be detected. These results demonstrate clearly that the content of phenolic compounds is dependent on the polarity of the solvent used; higher the polarity of the solvent, higher the content of phenolic compounds. Moreover, SC leaves can be considered as a good source of phenolic compound.

**Antioxidant activity**

Most of the methods of determination of total antioxidant activity characterize the ability of the tested compound or product to scavenge free radicals where DPPH is the best example to measure the free radical scavenging activity. It is recommended for studies with electron and hydrogen donating compounds such as phenols or flavonoids. Our result showed that methanol fraction has highest percentage of phenol content. Keeping in view, we evaluated the antioxidant activity of ethanol extract and its fractions viz hexane, chloroform, methanol and aqueous by determining percentage inhibition of DPPH radical. Ascorbic acid was used as standard for present investigation. A significant inhibition of DPPH free radical was observed in ethanol extract and methanol fraction
at the concentration of 100 μg/ml (Table 3). Ethanol extract inhibits 80.5% of the DPPH free radical at 100 μg/ml whereas methanol fraction showed 83.6% inhibition of DPPH radicals at the same concentration (Figure 2). These results were compared with ascorbic acid as standard drug which shows 92.1% inhibitory activity. In addition, hexane and chloroform fraction were also evaluated for inhibition of DPPH radical but they have been found to show weak inhibitory activity.

Table 2 Extraction yield and Total phenolic content of SC leaves extracts. Values are mean ± standard deviation of triplicate experiments. Different letters in columns show significant differences at p<0.05; ND: Not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Extraction yield (%w/w)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.5a</td>
<td>81.8 ± 3.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.1c</td>
<td>21.9 ± 1.8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>41.0 ± 1.8d</td>
<td>86.2 ± 2.6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>16.4 ± 2.4e</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 DPPH assay showing % inhibition of ethanol extract and its fractions. ND: not determine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Concentration (μg/ml)</th>
<th>% inhibition of free radicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
<td>Hexane fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antidiabetic activity

Increased oxidative stress is involved in diabetes by the generation of oxygen derived free radicals. The generation of free radicals may lead to lipid peroxidation in diabetes mellitus by glucose degradation, non-enzymatic glycation of proteins and the subsequent oxidative degradation [14]. Glucose 6-phosphatase is as hydrolyzing enzyme and plays a key role in the homeostatic regulation of blood glucose levels via formation of phosphate group and free glucose on hydrolysis [15]. Ethanol extract and all the fractions were evaluated for glucose-6-phosphatase inhibitory activity against standard drug sodium orthovanadate. Ethanol extract showed 51.3% inhibitory effect (Table 4). Furthermore, methanol fraction exhibited 57.9% inhibition of glucose-6-phosphatase enzyme at 100 μM concentration which was most active in comparison to other fractions such as hexane, chloroform and aqueous fraction.

Table 4 In-vitro glucose-6-phosphatase enzyme inhibition results of extract and fraction at 100 μM concentration. Percentage inhibition of sodium orthovanadate is 53.4% at 100 μM concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>% inhibition of glucose-6-phosphatase enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

In conclusion, our result shows the presence of various phytochemicals in fractions of plant which may be responsible for the pharmacological activity. Total phenolic content, antioxidant and antidiabetic activity were also discussed. Methanol fraction have highest phenolic content that is 86.2 ± 2.6. Ethanol extract and methanol fraction shows maximum DPPH radical scavenging activity and they inhibit 80.5% and 83.6% free radicals at 100 μg/ml concentration, respectively. In order to antidiabetic activity, methanol fraction shows 55.5% inhibition of glucose-6-phosphatase enzyme. Extracts of SC appear to be attractive materials for further studies leading to possible drug development for antioxidant and diabetes which is relatively inexpensive, less time consuming and more economical for drug development and discovery.
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